

**[AGB] Submission Acknowledgement****AgBioForum** <editor@agbioforum.org>

Thu, Jul 22, 2021 at 11:12 AM

**To: Rochmat Martanto** <rochmatmartanto@stpn.ac.id>

Rochmat Martanto:

Thank you for submitting the manuscript, "Land Use Conversion Pattern and Food Security for Sustainable Food Land Direction in Karanganyar Regency, Indonesia" to AGBIOFORUM.

With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Submission URL: <https://agbioforum.org/menuscript/index.php/agb/authorDashboard/submission/70>

Username: rochmatmartanto

If your paper pass reviews processes and meets our standards it is necessary to make the payment.

**Publication fee** (covers: publishing, review, and databases indexing costs): **1500 USD**.

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor in Chief

---

**AGBIOFORUM**

**[AGB] Revision request****AgBioForum** <editor@agbioforum.org>

Fri, Sep 17, 2021 at 09:22 AM

To: **Rochmat Martanto** <rochmatmartanto@stpn.ac.id>

Rochmat Martanto:

The paper " Land Use Conversion Pattern and Food Security for Sustainable Food Land Direction in Karanganyar Regency, Indonesia " has been preliminarily reviewed.

Reviewers have given their comments on your paper. Please do the following when you resubmit your revised version:

- (i) All corrections as per the reviewers' comments and prepare a table / response letter showing corrections done. Your corrections will not be accepted in the absence of this response letter / table.
- (ii) All authors' names, emails and affiliations checked and corrected

Please ensure the submission of the revision within 1 month of receiving this mail either both as a reply to this mail and in the online system.

The paper can be resubmitted for a review after huge improvements, and this does not guarantee it will be approved.

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor in Chief

---

**AGBIOFORUM****Reviewer A**

Though the title of the paper refers to Multicultural Education only in Indonesian perspective, the introduction makes a global view and the selection of variables content integration, equality pedagogy, empowering culture, prejudice reduction and knowledge construction etc. are also seen in a broad perspective. The author suggests that multicultural education is best provided in the educational institutions, especially at school level. This is difficult to understand when the Indonesian society is not so multi-cultural at the school level, the author talks of unity in heterogeneity, but there was no evidence of the multicultural face of the Indonesian society. The author may like to look into this issue. Last, but not the least, there are 11 hypotheses which is very difficult to justify in a short study like this.

The topic of the paper is hot and interesting. I've read your article with a lot of interest. Overall, this paper has some practical innovations and also discusses the findings. Based on this reading, I'll start by providing some recommendations for improving the article:

1. The author is presumed to have taken a position on the negative side. In my opinion, you should start a story with a neutral stance and then take/mold your study towards the objectives of the study.
2. The authors also need to explain the terms regional food security based on regional development in detail. Also, there is a need to articulate the arguments in the introduction to section to place them in a coherent and systematic rate and clearly understand the problem statement and objectives of the study.
3. It would be very informative to add the Karanganyar Regency Administration Map with facts and figures for a better understanding of the readers.
4. There is a need to explain the sampling procedures and sampling details in the methodology section for a better understanding of the readers and make the study more powerful in the area.

5. The authors should provide the source of Table 2: Scoring and data sources\
6. It is good to add a Table with details regarding the Grouping of sustainable land use directions in Karanganyar Regency.
7. Figure 2 presenting the Map of Land Use Conversion for 2009-2019 in Karanganyar Regency must be appropriately explained in the discussion section
8. The authors need to thoroughly discuss and elaborate on the implementation of measures taken in the context of food security available in Indonesia in the forms of laws and regulations
9. The authors should add a map related to Land Use Direction in Karanganyar Regency
10. The conclusion needs revision based on the implications and significance of the study while presenting a brief description of the study
11. Finally, several grammatical mistakes in the please manuscript make it hard to understand. Therefore, it is recommended for authors to thoroughly read the whole manuscript, and it is better to avail the services of native English speakers to make the write-up clearer and easy to understand

### **Reviewer B**

While reviewing this interesting manuscript, I found that the authors have tried to address an important issue. Nonetheless, I still have some other concerns that should be further addressed to make the study more influential

1. The introduction part is not well written and arranged as per the objectives of the study. However, the information provided in the introduction part is not symmetric. It also lacks contextual detail in the beginning. As this study is conducted in the Indonesian context, hence it is very important to give a background of Indonesian regional food security from the start of the manuscript. Secondly, the citations provided by the authors are too old, taken from studies from 2005-2014. It's 2021, and there are too many studies published in prestigious journals regarding the study context.
2. The gap in the study is not properly identified. What is already known in the field? Why is there a need to conduct a review study? There are many long sentences that are hard to understand. It is good to break such sentences into two or three sentences.
3. It is good to add the map for the Karanganyar Regency Administration
4. It is good to add a section-wise division of the paper by providing detail about each section.
5. The elaboration of the research findings with the help of tables and figures will enhance the quality of the manuscript.
6. The authors have well presented the details about the linkage of the Pattern of land conversion. However, it would be good to articulate these with food security in the area to make them more readable and influential.
7. Revise the conclusion to make it more influential and robust with key findings and implications rather than just providing the research summary.
8. Check the references are written in APA 7 format. Also, cross-check that all the citations are present in the bibliography and vice versa.
9. There are several grammatical errors in the manuscript. Take the help of native English speakers to edit the complete manuscript to enhance its quality and readability

**[AGB] Revision Submission Acknowledgement**

**AgBioForum** <editor@agbioforum.org>

Sat, Oct 2, 2021 at 08:12 AM

To: **Rochmat Martanto** <rochmatmartanto@stpn.ac.id>

Rochmat Martanto:

Thank you for submitting revision of the manuscript, "Land Use Conversion Pattern and Food Security for Sustainable Food Land Direction in Karanganyar Regency, Indonesia" to AGBIOFORUM.

With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Submission URL: <https://agbioforum.org/menuscrypt/index.php/agb/authorDashboard/submission/70>

Username: rochmatmartanto

If your paper pass reviews processes and meets our standards it is necessary to make the payment.

**Publication fee** (covers: publishing, review, and databases indexing costs): **1500 USD**.

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor in Chief

---

**AGBIOFORUM**

**RESPONSE TO REVIEWER-A**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Reviewer's Comment</b>	<b>Response</b>
1.	The topic of the paper is hot and interesting. I've read your article with a lot of interest. Overall, this paper has some practical innovations and also discusses the findings. Based on this reading, I'll start by providing some recommendations for improving the article	Thanks a lot, dear reviewer, for providing us with the opportunity to revise our manuscript. I am very grateful for all the valid and valuable comments. These helped us a lot to improve our work.
2.	The author is presumed to have taken a position on the negative side. In my opinion, you should start a story with a neutral stance and then take/mold your study towards the objectives of the study.	Many thanks for your kind suggestions. I have revised the introduction of the paper to start with a neutral stance leading toward the objectives of my study. As per your kind suggestions Please see page 1
3.	The authors also need to explain the terms regional food security based on regional development in detail. Also, there is a need to articulate the arguments in the introduction to section to place them in a coherent and systematic rate and clearly understand the problem statement and objectives of the study.	Dear reviewer, Thank you for your kind remarks. I have devised almost all parts of the paper as per the valuable comments provided by your kind self-regarding contribution and, most importantly, focusing on the practical contribution of the paper in contextual settings. I hope yourself will enjoy reading this version of the paper. Moreover, a detailed

		response to all the comments has been given as follows. Please see pages 1-7
4.	It would be very informative to add the Karanganyar Regency Administration Map with facts and figures for a better understanding of the readers.	Respected reviewer, Many thanks for your kind suggestions and guidelines. I have now added the Karanganyar Regency Administration Map with facts and figures. please see page 8
5.	There is a need to explain the sampling procedures and sampling details in the methodology section for a better understanding of the readers and make the study more powerful in the area.	Respected reviewer, Thank you I have added sampling procedures and sampling details in the methodology as per your kind guidelines and suggestions please see pages 8-9
6.	The authors should provide the source of Table 2: Scoring and data sources	The source of table 2 has been provided as per your kind suggestions. Please see page 11
7.	It is good to add a Table with details regarding the Grouping of sustainable land use directions in Karanganyar Regency.	The details of the table regarding the Grouping of sustainable land use directions in Karanganyar Regency have been added as per your kind suggestions Please see page 13
8.	Figure 2 presenting the Map of Land Use Conversion for 2009-2019 in Karanganyar Regency must be appropriately explained in the discussion section	Many thanks. I have added the map as suggested by your kind self  Please see page 16
9.	The authors need to thoroughly discuss and elaborate on the implementation of measures taken in the context of food security available in Indonesia in the forms of laws and regulations	Dear reviewer, I am grateful for this kind suggestion to enhance the quality of our manuscript. Following your kind guidelines, I have now thoroughly discussed and elaborated on the implementation of measures taken in the context of food security available in Indonesia in the forms of laws and regulations Please see pages 11-19
10.	The authors should add a map related to Land Use Direction in Karanganyar Regency	Dear reviewer, Many thanks for your kind suggestion. I have added the map as suggested by your kind self. Please see page 18
11.	The conclusion needs revision based on the implications and significance of the study while presenting a brief description of the study	Dear reviewer, many thanks for your kind suggestion. I have revised the conclusion section per your kind guidelines by focusing on findings and implications and providing a brief research summary. Please see page 20

12.	Finally, several grammatical mistakes in the please manuscript make it hard to understand. Therefore, it is recommended for authors to thoroughly read the whole manuscript, and it is better to avail the services of native English speakers to make the write-up clearer and easy to understand	Dear reviewer, I am grateful for your observations and for helping us enhance our manuscript's quality with your kind suggestions, comments, and guidelines. Following your kind guidelines, I have now proof-edited art paper with the help of professional native English speakers to enhance its quality and readability
-----	--	---

## RESPONSE TO REVIEWER-B

Sr. No.	Reviewer's Comment	Response
1.	While reviewing this interesting manuscript, I found that the authors have tried to address an important issue. Nonetheless, I still have some other concerns that should be further addressed to make the study more influential	Thanks very much, dear reviewer, for your kind remarks and for providing us with the opportunity to revise our manuscript. I am very grateful for all the valid and valuable comments. These helped us a lot to improve our work.
2. 1	The introduction part is not well written and arranged as per the objectives of the study. However, the information provided in the introduction part is not symmetric. It also lacks contextual detail in the beginning. As this study is conducted in the Indonesian context, hence it is very important to give a background of Indonesian regional food security from the start of the manuscript. Secondly, the citations provided by the authors are too old, taken from studies from 2005-2014. It's 2021, and there are too many studies published in prestigious journals regarding the study context.	Dear reviewer, I have revised the introduction by adding contextual information, i.e., in our study case Indonesian context, with a clear gap per your kind comments and suggestion.  I have also updated the citations, mostly from 2019 nineteen to 2022  Please see pages 1-7
3.	The gap in the study is not properly identified. What is already known in the field? Why is there a need to conduct a review study? There are many long sentences that are hard to understand. It is good to break such sentences into two or three sentences.	Dear reviewer, many thanks for your kind suggestion. Following your kind instructions, I have revised the gap and have breakdown the long sentences into smaller ones which are now easy to understand by the readers Please see pages 6-7
4.	It is good to add the map for the Karanganyar Regency Administration	Respected reviewer, Many thanks for your kind suggestions and guidelines. I have now added the Karanganyar Regency Administration Map Please see page 8
5.	It is good to add a section-wise division of the paper by providing detail about each section.	Respected reviewer, Thank you I have now separately added the section-wise division of the paper by providing detail about each section.
6.	The elaboration of the research findings with the help of tables and figures will enhance the quality of the manuscript.	Respected reviewer, Thank you I have now added the tables and figures as per your kind guidelines and suggestions to enhance the readability and quality of the manuscript.

7.	The authors have well presented the details about the linkage of the Pattern of land conversion. However, it would be good to articulate these with food security in the area to make them more readable and influential.	Respected reviewer, many thanks for your appreciation for presenting our work. Following your kind guidelines, I have linked the Pattern of land conversion with food security in the area to make them more readable and influential. Please see pages 13-14
8.	Revise the conclusion to make it more influential and robust with key findings and implications rather than just providing the research summary.	Dear reviewer, many thanks for your kind suggestion. I have revised the conclusion section per your kind guidelines by focusing on findings and implications and providing a brief research summary.  Please see page 20
9.	Check the references are written in APA 7 format. Also, cross-check that all the citations are present in the bibliography and vice versa.	Respected reviewer, Thank you I have followed the journal guidelines for the references and cross-checked all the references as per your kind advise
10.	There are several grammatical errors in the manuscript. Take the help of native English speakers to edit the complete manuscript to enhance its quality and readability	Dear reviewer, I am grateful for your observations and for helping us enhance our manuscript's quality with your kind suggestions, comments, and guidelines. Following your kind guidelines, I have now proof-edited art paper with the help of professional native English speakers to enhance its quality and readability

**[AGB] Revision request****AgBioForum** <editor@agbioforum.org>

Mon, Nov 15, 2021 at 10:12 AM

**To: Rochmat Martanto** <rochmatmartanto@stpn.ac.id>

Rochmat Martanto:

The paper "Land Use Conversion Pattern and Food Security for Sustainable Food Land Direction in Karanganyar Regency, Indonesia" has been reviewed again.

Good improvements have been made to the paper. However, there are still minor improvements to be made.

Some considerations:

- 1) Update the abstract in your native language. A small change has been made to the English version.
- 2) There are still missing some relevant information in the research methodology section.

Please ensure the submission of the revision within 1 month of receiving this mail either both as a reply to this mail and in the online system.

The paper can be resubmitted for a review after huge improvements, and this does not guarantee it will be approved.

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor in Chief

---

**AGBIOFORUM**



**[AGB] Revision Submission Acknowledgement****AgBioForum** <editor@agbioforum.org>

Tue, Nov 22, 2021 at 10:01 AM

**To: Rochmat Martanto** <rochmatmartanto@stpn.ac.id>

Rochmat Martanto:

Thank you for submitting revision of the manuscript, "Land Use Conversion Pattern and Food Security for Sustainable Food Land Direction in Karanganyar Regency, Indonesia" to AGBIOFORUM.

With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Submission URL: <https://agbioforum.org/menuscript/index.php/agb/authorDashboard/submission/70>

Username: rochmatmartanto

If your paper pass reviews processes and meets our standards it is necessary to make the payment.

**Publication fee** (covers: publishing, review, and databases indexing costs): **1500 USD**.

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor in Chief

---

**AGBIOFORUM**

**[AGB] Acceptance Acknowledgment****AgBioForum** <editor@agbioforum.org>

Tue, Nov 29, 2021 at 10:01 AM

**To: Rochmat Martanto** <rochmatmartanto@stpn.ac.id>

Rochmat Martanto:

Congratulations!

Your paper entitled, "Land Use Conversion Pattern and Food Security for Sustainable Food Land Direction in Karanganyar Regency, Indonesia " has been accepted for publication in AGBIOFORUM (Vol. 23 No. 2, 2021).

Thank you for your interest in our journal. Your Journal paper would be indexed in Scopus (Elsevier), Google Scholar, Scirus, GetCited, Scribd, so on. We look forward to receiving your subsequent research papers.

**Note:**

We will send you email separately for publication fee (covers: publishing, review, and databases indexing costs): 1500 USD.

Editor in Chief

---

**AGBIOFORUM**