

Dokumen Bukti Korespondensi

Comments for the Editor



Participants [Edit](#)

M. Nazir Salim (nazir_salim)

Messages

Note	From
Please consider the accompanying original research manuscript "Settlement of Land Tenure in Forest Area: The Dynamics of Participative PTKH Inver Proposal". Thank you for your consideration of this manuscript.NZ	nazir_salim2020-06-29 07:40 PM

Regular Research Article

June 30th, 2020

Editors-in-Chief: Forest and Society Journal
Muhammad Alif K. Sahide,
Micah R. Fisher

Dear Editor in Chief

Please consider the accompanying original research manuscript "Settlement of Land Tenure in Forest Area: The Dynamics of Participative PTKH Inver Proposal".

I confirm that this manuscript has not been published or presented elsewhere in part or in its entirety, and is not under consideration by another journal.

This study aimed to describe a fieldwork experience related to basic problems in the process of identification and settlement of land tenure claimed by communities in forest area. This study were obtained by using participant observation method, of which was conducted together with the communities in order to identify and verify community land in forest area. The results of the study show that the main problems are lack of access to information related to PPTKH program as the result of ineffective socialization and undeveloped capacity of the community that is supposed to be the responsibility of various elements such as the government, academics/researchers and activists/scholars. Communities who own land in forest area need legality, leaving their lands without legal certainty will harm their access and assets.

All author have read and approved the manuscript and take full responsibility for it's content. The author no conflicts of interest in regard to this research or its finding.

Thank you for your consideration of this manuscript.

Please address all correspondence concerning this manuscript to me: nazirsalim2011@gmail.com.

Best Regard

M. Nazir Salim
National Land Institute (STPN)
and

Diah Retno Wulan: Staff of Secretariat General of Ministry of Agrarian Affairs and Spatial Planning/National Land Agency
Sukmo Pinuji: National Land Institute (STPN)

Address: Jl. Tata Bumi, No. 5 Banyuraden, Sleman, Yogyakarta

Editor Decision

[Close Panel](#)

Participants [Edit](#)

- Muhammad Alif K. Sahide (alif)
- M. Nazir Salim (nazir_salim)

Messages

Note	From
<p>The following message is being delivered on behalf of Forest and Society</p> <hr/> <p>Dear Editor Mr. Muhammad Alif K. Sahide, I have completed a note/comment from the Round 1 reviewer, and posted it to the system. Best Regard M. Nazir Salim</p> <hr/> <p>Forest and Society A Scopus and Web of Science ESCI indexed Journal http://journal.unhas.ac.id/index.php/fs/index</p>	<p>nazir_salim 2020-07-14 08:08 AM</p>
<p>SettingsThe following message is being delivered on behalf of Forest and Society</p> <hr/> <p>Dear M. Nazir Salim: We have received the reviewers` comments on your submission to Forest and Society, "Settlement of Land Tenure in Forest Area: The Dynamics of Participative PTKH Inver Proposal". Our decision is: Revisions Required - major revision If you can suitably address their comments, below (and two file in the attachment), I invite you to submit a revised version of your manuscript, for consideration. Please carefully address all the issues raised in the comments. We expect to receive your revision within 3 weeks from today. If you are submitting a revised manuscript please also: a) highlight any change in the text using the "Track Changes" function, and provide a point by point outline of the revisions, following the reviewers` comments AND b) please also provide one more file contain a response to reviewers' comments. This file explains how the author answering reviewers' suggestion, critique, and input and highlight any change by providing a point by point outline of the revisions or provide a suitable rebuttal to each reviewer comment not addressed in the text. I look forward to receiving your revised manuscript. Yours sincerely, Muhammad Alif K. Sahide Universitas Hasanuddin alif.mksr@gmail.com ----- ----- Reviewer A: Overall, this article is very interesting to be as a reference in understanding the problem of Inver PPTKH. However, this paper is very technical in discussing procedural Inver PPTKH The good</p>	<p>nazir_salim 2020-07-19 12:03 AM</p>

technical writing can be a special recommendation to policy makers in Indonesia. For this scientific article session it is better to focus on the TORA problem, the TORA pattern (as in Table 2) and what solutions the author can provide. -----

----- Reviewer B: My understanding of this manuscript is that it describes a fieldwork experience concerned with the settlement of tenure claims by forest communities — specifically the Forest Areas in Ogan Kemoring Ulu Regency — in Indonesia. Thematically, the subject addressed in the manuscript stands at the core of my research interest, but its geographical focus lies at the periphery of my experience. The issue of land/water/forest/mineral tenure stands at the core of my research activities. I have worked on resource tenure issues for more than ten years. I have also published and supervised topics related to Indonesia. However, I am not vast in the Indonesian context of the subject, in terms of its geography. As I cannot attest to some of the assertions made in the manuscript, my review of this article is, therefore, more focused on the general form of the manuscript as a scientific piece. With these in mind, the following are my feedback. Critical English writing problems The paper is also riddled with a lot of confusing grammatical constructions which will need to be teased out, and “simplified” in ways that carry through their direct messages. For instance (just one of such statements), the author writes: “Departing from the arguments above, this study was conducted to explain and illustrate the reality in the site on how the dynamics, problems, challenges and proposals resolve community land tenure in forest area.” The above statement leaves one wondering, do tenure challenges or problems resolve land tenure problems? Do proposals solve land tenure problems? I encourage the author to do tighter editing of this manuscript. The methodology The methodology needs to be rewritten in a more convincing, coherent, and consistent (nonrepetitive) manner). The authors say, “This study uses a participant observation strategy in its field study.” Then say, “Qualitatively, the process of obtaining primary data was done through participant observation with several activities carried out in accordance with technical regulations.” A question that any reader would quickly ask while reading the methodological part of the manuscript is: what is participant observation (and why is it essential as an essential means of sourcing data for this research)? The statement, “The data collection was done by triangulation model: interview, document study, and participant observation,” does not help. One can’t use triangulation for data collection (it is not data

collection method), but rather it can be used for data verification, and especially data validation. I believe the authors know what they want to say, but it is instead not coming out clear enough. Result/discussion Since the entire result and its discussion are concerned with “Inventory of Community Land Tenure in Forest Areas in Ogan Kemoring Ulu Regency,” I was left wondering why the authors did not use this caption for its manuscript title. After all, more than 70% of the entire manuscript focused on the authors' experience in “Inventory of Community Land Tenure in Forest Areas in Ogan Kemoring Ulu Regency.” Confusing Structure The authors have a “result and discussion” (section 3), and then a “discussion” (section 4). I don't think they can have both. Why not simply give the findings more boldly – e.g., make “Inventory of Community Land Tenure in Forest Areas in Ogan Kemoring Ulu Regency” the section 3; then keep section 4 as discussion. Improving the structure I suggest the authors section the narratives in section 3 into specific messages based on the research objective. From the introduction, the specific aim (in terms of novelty) is all over the place, and it is still difficult to pin it down accurately (from a reader's angle). My understanding of this manuscript is that it describes a fieldwork experience concerned with the settlement of tenure claims by forest communities — specifically the Forest Areas in Ogan Kemoring Ulu Regency — in Indonesia. Thematically, the subject addressed in the manuscript stands at the core of my research interest, but its geographical focus lies at the periphery of my experience. The issue of land/water/forest/mineral tenure stands at the core of my research activities. I have worked on resource tenure issues for more than ten years. I have also published and supervised topics related to Indonesia. However, I am not vast in the Indonesian context of the subject, in terms of its geography. As I cannot attest to some of the assertions made in the manuscript, my review of this article is, therefore, more focused on the general form of the manuscript as a scientific piece. With these in mind, the following are my feedback. Critical English writing problems The paper is also riddled with a lot of confusing grammatical constructions which will need to be teased out, and “simplified” in ways that carry through their direct messages. For instance (just one of such statements), the author writes: “Departing from the arguments above, this study was conducted to explain and illustrate the reality in the site on how the dynamics, problems, challenges and proposals resolve community land tenure in forest area.” The above statement leaves one wondering, do tenure challenges or problems resolve land tenure problems? Do proposals solve land

tenure problems? I encourage the author to do tighter editing of this manuscript. The methodology The methodology needs to be rewritten in a more convincing, coherent, and consistent (nonrepetitive) manner). The authors say, “This study uses a participant observation strategy in its field study.” Then say, “Qualitatively, the process of obtaining primary data was done through participant observation with several activities carried out in accordance with technical regulations.” A question that any reader would quickly ask while reading the methodological part of the manuscript is: what is participant observation (and why is it essential as an essential means of sourcing data for this research)? The statement, “The data collection was done by triangulation model: interview, document study, and participant observation,” does not help. One can’t use triangulation for data collection (it is not data collection method), but rather it can be used for data verification, and especially data validation. I believe the authors know what they want to say, but it is instead not coming out clear enough. Result/discussion Since the entire result and its discussion are concerned with “Inventory of Community Land Tenure in Forest Areas in Ogan Kemoring Ulu Regency,” I was left wondering why the authors did not use this caption for its manuscript title. After all, more than 70% of the entire manuscript focused on the authors' experience in “Inventory of Community Land Tenure in Forest Areas in Ogan Kemoring Ulu Regency.” Confusing Structure The authors have a “result and discussion” (section 3), and then a “discussion” (section 4). I don’t think they can have both. Why not simply give the findings more boldly – e.g., make “Inventory of Community Land Tenure in Forest Areas in Ogan Kemoring Ulu Regency” the section 3; then keep section 4 as discussion. Improving the structure I suggest the authors section the narratives in section 3 into specific messages based on the research objective. From the introduction, the specific aim (in terms of novelty) is all over the place, and it is still difficult to pin it down accurately (from a reader’s angle). -----

 Reviewer D: The paper examines a local case in Gedung Pekuon Village, Lengkiti Sub-district, Ogan Komering Ulu (OKU) Regency, South Sumatera and describe a fieldwork experience related to basic problems in the process of identification and settlement of land tenure claimed by communities in the forest area. Though the study can contribute to the research arena where researchers are trying to explore the best possible arrangement for minimizing the conflicts related to land rights, but this study has some limitation. Significant limitations

associated with a section on methodology, result, and discussion. The method has to be explanatory; the result has to be concise and without repetition and finally, the discussion has to be based on the findings that have been explored. It is also important, as it is not obvious, that the reader can easily understand the data sources in the section on the result. The discussion was (in most cases) not related to the result. Please make a major overhaul of these three sections. You will find any more comments in the manuscript file (send them to the editor). Thank you and wish you all the best with this revision. -----

 _____ Forest and
 Society A Scopus and Web of Science ESCI indexed Journal
<http://journal.unhas.ac.id/index.php/fs/index>

SettingsThe following message is being delivered on behalf of Forest and
 Society _____

nazir_salim
 2020-07-27
 07:35 AM

Dear Mr. Alif K. Sahide We have completed the revision of the reviewer
 comments, hopefully our revisions are as requested. Thank you Best regards M
 Nazir Salim _____
 Forest and Society A Scopus and Web of Science ESCI indexed Journal
<http://journal.unhas.ac.id/index.php/fs/index>

SettingsThe following message is being delivered on behalf of Forest and
 Society _____

nazir_salim
 2020-07-27
 07:35 AM

Dear Mr. Alif K. Sahide We have completed the revision of the reviewer
 comments, hopefully our revisions are as requested. Thank you Best regards M
 Nazir Salim _____
 Forest and Society A Scopus and Web of Science ESCI indexed Journal
<http://journal.unhas.ac.id/index.php/fs/index>

SettingsThe following message is being delivered on behalf of Forest and
 Society _____

nazir_salim
 2020-07-27
 04:57 PM

Dear Mr Alif K. Sahide On the post yesterday, I closed the author's name on the
 paper, and I forgot to return it in black. Sorry M Nazir Salim
 _____ Forest and
 Society A Scopus and Web of Science ESCI indexed Journal
<http://journal.unhas.ac.id/index.php/fs/index>

Note	From
<p>SettingsThe following message is being delivered on behalf of Forest and Society _____</p> <p>Dear Mr Alif K. Sahide On the post yesterday, I closed the author's name on the paper, and I forgot to return it in black. Sorry M Nazir Salim _____ Forest and Society A Scopus and Web of Science ESCI indexed Journal http://journal.unhas.ac.id/index.php/fs/index</p>	<p>nazir_salim 2020-07-27 04:58 PM</p>
<p>SettingsDear Editor, Mr. Alif K. Sahide May i know the progress of my manuscript above? Thank you tabikNazir Salim</p>	<p>nazir_salim 2020-12-09 09:04 AM</p>

Notifications

undefined

[FS] Editor Decision

2020-12-16 01:41 AM

Dear M. Nazir Salim, Diah Retno Wulan, Sukmo Pinuji:We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Forest and Society, "Settlement of Land Tenure in Forest Area: The Dynamics of Participative PTKH Inver Proposal".Our decision is to: Accept Submission, pending revision including changing on title My own comments, as well as any reviewer comments, are appended to the end of this letter. Please confirm editorial proof, comments and edit and bak to us soon Your accepted manuscript will now be transferred to our production department. We will create a proof which you will be asked to check. If we need additional information from you during the production process, we will contact you. Thank you for submitting your work to Forest and Society. We hope you consider us again for future submissions. Kind regards, Muhammad Alif K. SahideEditor, Forest and Society -----Editor comment:Please see editorial comment in the file system attachment, please confirm and back to us soon-----

-----Reviewer B:I have reread this article and confirm the following, based on my previous feedback to the author(s):Tighter English language editing has been done. However, further moderate to extensive English changes are still required to make it publishable. This is a minor issue, which the authors can do during proofing. So, it does not have to come back to me for review.The methodology and results sections have been improved. Issues regarding triangulation have been addressed.The author(s) provided adequate responses to my comments on various aspects of the article.My concern about structure has been addressed.I would accept the paper in current form, pending earlier request on further

editing. Recommendation: Accept Submission-----
-----Reviewer C:Now the paper has changed considerably from
its previous edition. Please do the proofreading carefully for the final edition. Thank you so
much and best of luck. Recommendation: Accept Submission-----
-----Forest and Society A Scopus and Web of Science ESCI indexed Journal

Compose

Inbox 22/3
Starred
 Snoozed
 Important
 Chats
 Sent
 Scheduled
 Drafts 5
 All Mail
 Spam 5
 Trash
 Categories
 Social 27
 Updates 1990
 Forums
 Promotions 1693
 More
 Labels

Re: Settlement of Land Tenure in Forest Area: The Dynamics of Participative PTKH Inver Proposal Inbox x

Tue, Jul 14, 2020, 10:13 PM

Muhammad Aif K. Sahide <aif.mkar@gmail.com>
to me

Dear M. Nazir Salim

Thank you for your information. However, we are still not finished and still no decision in this round review, we are already obtained one reviewer's comments, but still waiting for the other reviewers' comments. We will inform you when we get recommendations from completed all reviewers or when we have decision

All the best
Aif Sahide
Editor, Forest and Society

> On 14 Jul 2020, at 23:07, Muhammad Aif K. Sahide <ajournal@unhas.ac.id> wrote:
>
> The following message is being delivered on behalf of Forest and Society
>
> Dear Editor Mr. Muhammad Aif K. Sahide,
>
> I have completed a note/comment from the Round 1 reviewer, and posted it to
> the system.
>
> Best Regard
> M. Nazir Salim
>
> Forest and Society
> A Scopus and Web of Science ESCI Indexed Journal
> <http://journal.unhas.ac.id/index.php/fs/index>

Compose

Inbox 22/3
Starred
 Snoozed
 Important
 Chats
 Sent
 Scheduled
 Drafts 5
 All Mail
 Spam 5
 Trash
 Categories
 Social 27
 Updates 1990
 Forums
 Promotions 1693
 More
 Labels

[FS] Editor Decision Inbox x

Sun, Jul 19, 2020, 10:04 PM

Muhammad Aif K. Sahide <ajournal@unhas.ac.id>
to me, M. Nazir Salim

The following message is being delivered on behalf of Forest and Society

Dear M. Nazir Salim

We have received the reviewer's comments on your submission to Forest and Society, "Settlement of Land Tenure in Forest Area: The Dynamics of Participative PTKH Inver Proposal". Our decision is: **Revisions Required - major revision**

If you can satisfy address their comments, below and two file in the attachment, I'm like you to submit a revised version of your manuscript for consideration. Please carefully address all the issues stated in the comments. We expect to receive your revision within 3 weeks from today.

If you are submitting a revised manuscript please also:
1) highlight any change in the text using the "Track Changes" function, and provide a point by point outline of the revisions, following the reviewers' comments
AND
2) please also provide the more file contain a response to reviewers' comments. This file explains how the author answering reviewers' suggestion, criticism, and input and highlight any change by providing a point by point outline of the revisions or provide a suitable reference to each reviewer comment not addressed in the text.

I look forward to receiving your revised manuscript.

Yours sincerely,
Muhammad Aif K. Sahide
Universitas Hasanudin
ajournal@unhas.ac.id

Reviewer A:
Overall, this article is very interesting to be as a reference in understanding the problem of land PPT3H. However, this paper is very technical in discussing procedural land PPT3H. The good technical writing can be a special recommendation to policy makers in Indonesia. For the scientific article research it is better to focus on the TORA problem, the TORA pattern use in Table 2) and what solutions the author can provide.

Compose

Inbox 22/3
Starred
 Snoozed
 Important
 Chats
 Sent
 Scheduled
 Drafts 5
 All Mail
 Spam 5
 Trash
 Categories
 Social 27
 Updates 1990
 Forums
 Promotions 1693
 More
 Labels

Settlement of Land Tenure in Forest Area: The Dynamics of Participative PTKH Inver Proposal Inbox x

Mon, Jul 27, 2020, 9:36 PM

Muhammad Aif K. Sahide <ajournal@unhas.ac.id>
to Muhammad

The following message is being delivered on behalf of Forest and Society

Dear Mr. Aif K. Sahide

We have completed the revision of the reviewer comments, hopefully our revisions are as requested.

Thank you

Best regards
M Nazir Salim

Forest and Society
A Scopus and Web of Science ESCI indexed Journal
<http://journal.unhas.ac.id/index.php/fs/index>

2 Attachments • Scanned by Gmail

10552 Reviewer D...
Dear Editor-Email...

Compose

Inbox 22/3
Starred
 Snoozed
 Important
 Chats
 Sent
 Scheduled
 Drafts 5
 All Mail
 Spam 5
 Trash
 Categories
 Social 27
 Updates 1990
 Forums
 Promotions 1693
 More
 Labels

Re: Settlement of Land Tenure in Forest Area: The Dynamics of Participative PTKH Inver Proposal Inbox x

Tue, Jul 28, 2020, 7:59 AM

Muhammad Aif K. Sahide <aif.mkar@gmail.com>
to me

Dear M Nazir Salim

Thank you for your information. We have proceed your article for second round review now

All the best
Aif

On 28 Jul 2020, at 07:58, Muhammad Aif K. Sahide <ajournal@unhas.ac.id> wrote:
M Nazir Salim

em nazir <mnazirslm2018@gmail.com>
to Muhammad

Dear Mr. Aif
I just checked the manuscript submitted to the Forest and Society Journal revised on July 27, 2020, and the display of F&S changed to OJS 3. It looks very nice, simple and elegant, but I'm not familiar enough to find the results of my manuscript review.

May I know the progress?
Thank You!

Stay Safe and Healthy

NAZIR

[FS] New notification from Forest and Society

Muhammad Ailif K. Sahide rahjournal@unhas.ac.id to me

Wed, Dec 16, 2020, 8:41 AM

You have a new notification from Forest and Society:

The publication fee is due for payment.

Link: <https://journal.unhas.ac.id/index.php/meditraya/51>

Muhammad Ailif K. Sahide

[Forest and Society](#) A Scopus and Web of Science ESCI indexed Journal

Reply Forward

Editor decision: Accepted

Muhammad Ailif K. Sahide ailif.mikar@gmail.com to me

Wed, Dec 16, 2020, 5:10 PM

Dear M. Nazir Salim, Dian Retno Wulan, Sukomo Pinup:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Forest and Society, "Settlement of Land Tenure in Forest Area: The Dynamics of Participative PTXH Inver Proposal". Our decision is to: **Accept Submission**, pending revision including changing on title.

My own comments, as well as any reviewer comments, are appended to the end of this letter. Please confirm editorial proof, comments and edit and bak to us soon. Your accepted manuscript will now be transferred to our production department.

Please see the recent proof in the attachment, please check and approve. Please also see the Paypal APC fee invoice that has been sent into your email. If we need additional information from you during the production process, we will contact you. Thank you for submitting your work to Forest and Society.

We hope you consider us again for future submissions.

Kind regards, Muhammad Ailif K. Sahide
Editor, Forest and Society

Editor comment Please see editorial comment in the file system attachment, please confirm and back to us soon

Reviewer B:

I have reread this article and confirm the following, based on my previous feedback to the author(s): Tighter English language editing has been done. However, further moderate to extensive English changes are still required to make it publishable. This is a minor issue, which the authors can do during proofing. So, it does not have to come back to me for review. The methodology and results sections have been improved. Issues regarding triangulation have been addressed. The author(s) provided adequate responses to my comments on various aspects of the article. My concern about structure has been addressed. I would accept the paper in current form, pending earlier request on further editing. Recommendation: **Accept Submission**

Reviewer C: Now the paper has changed considerably from its previous edition. Please do the proofreading carefully for the final edition. Thank you so much and best of luck. Recommendation: **Accept Submission**

Forest and Society A Scopus and Web of Science ESCI indexed Journal

em nazir nazirsalim2019@gmail.com to Muhammad

Wed, Dec 16, 2020, 5:41 PM

Dear Editor, Mr. Ailif K. Sahide,

Thank you for receiving our manuscript.

Sorry I was late in responding to the Editor's email, I just checked the email. Give me an hour to check out some of the editor's changes.

Tabik
Nazir

em nazir nazirsalim2019@gmail.com to Muhammad

Wed, Dec 16, 2020, 6:23 PM

Dear Editor, Ailif K. Sahide

I would like to thank the reviewers and editors who have received our manuscripts.

We writers (three of us) quickly read some of the editor's fixes, and Agree with some of the improvements made by the editor, including a very interesting title change: "Reconciling community land and state forest claims in Indonesia: A case study of the Land Tenure Settlement Reconciliation Program in South Sumatra".

I also complete the author's name and correspondent email.

I will immediately settle the invoice that the Editor sent in a different email.

Correction file attached.

Thank you.

Best Regard
Authors
M Nazir Salim, Dian Retno Wulan, Sukomo Pinup

One attachment • Scanned by Gmail

Compose

Forest and Society forest_society@unhas.ac.id to me, aillifk

Sun, Dec 20, 2020, 4:33 PM

Dear Author,

We have cross-checked the citation and references on your accepted manuscript and find some issues that need to be fixed before publication. Please find the attached copy editing file, please fix it and back to us soon.

Best Regards,
Fahva Faturachmat
Copyediting Editor, Forest and Society

One attachment • Scanned by Gmail

em nazir nazirsalim2019@gmail.com to Diah

Sun, Dec 20, 2020, 6:28 PM

Dear M. Fahva Faturachmat (FS) Thank you for the notification and correction. I have corrected the error in writing the name in the citation and removed two ref

em nazir nazirsalim2019@gmail.com to Diah

Sun, Dec 20, 2020, 6:36 PM

One attachment • Scanned by Gmail